

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	08/920,27	72 08/22/	97	MILLER	_	 -	08:	338/024003	
Г		BIEKER-BRA				TEN	EXAMINER TENG, S		
		EBLING, LLF RAL STREET				ART UNIT	P/	APER NUMBER	
	BOSTON MA			•		164	16	12	
						DATE MAILE): ⁰	4/29/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/920,272

Applicant(s)

Miller et al.

Examiner

Sally Teng

Group Art Unit 1646

TH	E PEF	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date o	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Appe perio	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Apr 19, 1999</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plican is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on $Apr 19, 1999$ has been considered with the following effect, I deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The p	oposed amendment(s):
	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	Χv	Il not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NC	TE: <u>Claim 22 has been amended to an invention that is independent and distinct from the invention originally claimed.</u>
	□ A -	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	New sepa	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ste, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	for a <u>The</u>	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because: <u>erm " isolated precursor cells" is not defined in the specification as being free of all other cell types. The claims of limited to precursor cells free from other cells. In fact, some of the claims encompass neurons differentiated</u>
_		fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
l		raminer in the final rejection.
X	For p	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Clain	s allowed: None
	Clain	s objected to: None
	Clain	s rejected: <u>1-11 and 21-30</u>
	The	roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	he attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
X	Othe	from precursor cells. Both Calof and Mayo disclose precursor cells cells differentiated from the precursor cells. The claims do not include limitations to distinguish the claimed precursor cells from those of the prior art. SALLY TENG PRIMARY EXAMINER